

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				······································			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	COMPINAL			
09/613,486	07/11/2000		Hai-Ying Zhu	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
				07678/062004	9740		
	590	07/29/2003					
Paul T. Clark							
Clark & Ellbing LLP 101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110				EXAN	EXAMMER		
				KATCHEVES, KONSTANTINA T			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1636	23		
				DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ŀ			Application No.		Applicant(s)						
		Office Action Summary	09/613,486		ZHU ET AL.						
		omeo, iouon ounniary	Examiner		Art Unit						
-		The MAILING DATE of this account is	Konstantina Katcheves		1636						
	Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the co	orrespondence ad	dress					
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  Status										
	1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	av 2003								
	2a)□										
	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-9 and 11-27</u> is/are pending in the application.											
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,2 and 11-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
1	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.										
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) 3, 5, 1-9 and 19-27 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.											
A	pplication	Papers	ction and/or election re	equirement	t.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.											
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.											
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
	•	rapproved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action	alouppiove.	d by the Examiner	·.					
	12)∐ The	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
	13) 🗌 Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. a	8 110(a) <i>(a</i>	d) or (f)						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received to the certified copies of the priority documents have been received to the certified copies of the priority documents have been received to the priority documents have b										
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received										
1	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)											
1) [	Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) D 1=4==								
2) L	_ Notice of [	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Boyley (DTO 040)	4)	ummary (PT) formal Paten	O-413) Paper No(s). nt Application (PTO-1						
J.S. Pat	ent and Tradema	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:		'pp.104110() (F 1 O- (	<i>52)</i>					
PTO-S	326 (Rev. 04	-01) Office Action 5	iummary	Doet	of Demonstration						

Application/Control Number: 09/613,486

Art Unit: 1636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9 and 11-27 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 2 and 11-18 have been withdrawn as being drawn to non-elected inventions in response to the Restriction Requirement of Paper No. 6. Applicant has amended claims 3, 5 and 7 and added new claims 19-27 in Paper No. 22, filed 20 May 2003. Accordingly, claims 3, 5, 1-9 and 19-27 are currently under examination. The following restriction requirement is applies only to those claims currently under consideration.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 3, 5, 1-9 and 19-27, drawn to an isolated DNA molecule, classified in I. class 536, subclass 23.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I is comprised of multiple inventions which are the products drawn to different and distinct sequences which do not render obvious each other and thus are patentably distinct. Applicant has amended the claims and added new claims to specifically recite sequences which were not previously claimed such that restriction to one sequence is now required. Applicant must elect a single invention which is the product drawn to one specific sequence to which the claims will be restricted. Note, this restriction to examination of a single sequence is due to the now very high and undue burden for examining more than one sequence which is caused by the continued exponential increase of size of the sequence databases to be searched for each sequence, resulting in a corresponding increase in computer search time and examiner time for

Application/Control Number: 09/613,486

Art Unit: 1636

reviewing the computer search results. Therefore, the limited resources of the Office no longer permit examination of more than one sequence in an application. Accordingly, in most cases only one (1) sequence will be examined in an application without restriction.

Applicant's arguments filed in Paper No 22 with the amendment are acknowledged. However, will be addressed in the first Office action on the merits after a response to this restriction requirement is received. It is unclear to what extent these arguments will be applicable to the present claims, until an election is received.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each sequence is not required for the other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konstantina Katcheves whose telephone number is (703) 305-1999. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3014 for regular communications and (703) 305-7939 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Konstantina Katcheves July 17, 2003

REMYYUCEL, PH.D
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600